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ABSTRACT

Supplemental irrigation, drought tolerant cultivars and use of plant bioregulators are now being proposed as the key strategy to unlock the yield potential and stabilize the yield of wheat grown in rainfed areas. Experiments were therefore conducted during 2013-2015 using line source sprinklers (LSS) to determine the interactive effects of quantities of supplemental irrigation and exogenous foliar sprays of plant bioregulators- 10 mM thiourea (TU) and 32 ppm of ortho-silicic acid (OSA) on wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) varieties viz., HD2189, LOK1, NIAW 301, NIAW 34 and PBW 550. The irrigation quantities were: fully irrigated (I1: 31.8cm); mild (I2: 28.9cm); medium (I3: 25.9cm and I4: 22.7cm); and severe (I5: 19.9cm and I6: 17.2cm) water stress conditions. Wheat cultivars varied in their response to water deficits and those popular with farmers e.g. NIAW-301 showed higher water productivity under deficit irrigation. Response to TU, OSA also varied across water regimes and was higher under moderate to severe stress. Foliar application of TU and OSA at root crown initiation, flag leaf and grain filling stages improved yield by 6-9 % at fully irrigated; 18-19% at medium stress; 12-17% at severe water stress conditions and water productivity by 0.12- 0.10 Mg h⁻¹ with TU, 0.13-0.09 Mg h⁻¹ with OSA at fully irrigated and medium stress; 0.11-0.03 Mg h⁻¹ with TU, 0.12-0.03 Mg h⁻¹ with OSA at severe stress conditions. TU and OSA induced efficient use of water through increased relative water content, modulating canopy temperatures and enhanced total soluble sugars and sink partition those are essential for enhanced water productivity under deficit irrigation. Our interpretation is that varieties like NIAW 301, NIAW 34 and LOK1 with higher water productivity under medium and severe water stress intensities, though having comparatively low potential yields, should be preferred and exogenous application of PBR’s like TU and OSA could further enhance wheat productivity.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Wheat productivity is primarily water-limited in arid and semi-arid regions especially where droughts are of frequent occurrence. Under limited irrigation water, reduction in grain yield mainly due to restricted in water availability that corresponding for seed filling and water stress intensity will depends on degree, duration and timing of deficit irrigation. Since irrigation is becoming both infrequent and expensive in recent times, several studies on plant responses to water deficits (stress) are being carried out by investigators concerned with agricultural production, environment and resources, and macroscopic physics of soil, plant, and atmospheric water. The line-source irrigation system has been used to determine the influence of several treatment variables and irrigation on yield and other traits (Singh et al. 2009; Rao et al. 2013). Therefore, these systems have been extensively deployed to evaluate wheat yield response to variable amounts of irrigation water (Jensen et al., 2001, Peel et al., 2004, Winward and Hill, 2007; Singh et al., 2009) and determining water requirements maize, wheat and herbaceous perennials (Kjelgren and Cerny, 2006). However, several agronomic practices including the selection of appropriate cultivars make a difference under water stress conditions. Since the most prevalent varieties are not developed for water deficit conditions, their responses are highest under reliable irrigation supplies. Therefore, in addition to development of crop water production functions of wheat, the other objective was to identify appropriate varieties with better response to limited water in terms of water productivity.

In order to provide immediate solution to farmers under semi-arid regions of the concept of LEISA (Low External Input and Sustainable Agriculture) is gaining significant interest among scientific community. LEISA based agriculture system is based upon the options which are ecologically sound, economically feasible and culturally acceptable. This is generally achieved through the exogenous application of low concentration of chemicals termed as “Plant Bio-Regulators (PBRs)”. The plant stress tolerance can be improved with an exogenous use of stress alleviating chemicals (Wahid et al., 2007; Farooq et al., 2009). In the current scenario, various kinds of PBRs have been tested for enhancing the plant stress tolerance as well as the crop yield (Jisha et al., 2013). In LEISA, low level of PBRs are applied to the crop as foliar application to modulate the signaling associated with plant growth and yield; while, priming is mainly given during seed soaking stage to activate stress tolerance mechanism so that plants perform better upon subsequent stress exposure. Most of the PBRs treatments are applied either for seed priming or as foliar spray. Among the stress alleviating compounds, thiourea and ortho-silicic acid are the important molecules which have been shown to be beneficial for drought alleviation (Sahu et al. 2006; Srivastava et al. 2009; Chen et al. 2010; Meena et al. 2013). The response to alleviation of water stress with application of PBRs may also vary among the cultivars. Therefore the present study was conducted with the objectives (i) to study the individual
response of wheat cultivars under various water regimes, (ii) to assess the cultivar response to bioregulators at each water stress intensity (iii) to answer what maximum amount of grain yield losses can be minimize using PBRs under stress conditions and (iv) to focus on the traits involved in advantage of grain yield under water stress condition using line source sprinkler system and exogenous application of TU and OSA. The research focused on effective use of water in wheat crop by exogenous application of bioregulators, and cultivar responses at different intensity of water stress and to address the mitigation strategies of wheat cultivated in water scarcity zones of semi-arid regions.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 Experimental Details

Field experiments were conducted at NIASM experimental farm, Baramati, located in Southern-Western State of India (18°9 ′TNT, 74°28 ′E) during two growing seasons, 2013-2014, and 2014-2015, in a black 60-70 cm deep silty clay (around 40 % clay) over native basaltic soil. A line source sprinkler system with eight sprinklers spaced at 6.1 m was designed to provide for usable experimental area of size 24.4 m x 24.4 m. The system delivered linearly decreasing water distribution pattern at 24.4 m wetted diameter under 300 kPa pressure (figure 1). The detailed specification of sprinkler system is; HDPE pipe (75 mm dia. and 6.1m length), metal split nozzles with spreader (5.1 mm x 3.1 mm), riser (GI pipe, 12.5 mm dia. and 1.84 m height), HDPE service saddle (75 mm dia.) and HDPE pump connector (with gasket, end cap and tee bend, 75 mm dia.). The symmetrical water distribution pattern was monitored by using a series of PVC catch cans placed perpendicular to the line source system at 2 m spacing. The decrease in water distribution was observed with increase in distance from main line of LSS. The maximum water delivered was 19 mm/h near the main line and the lowest was 0.4-0.7 mm at a radius of 12.2 m. The field experiments were conducted in split plot design with four replications. Fifteen main plot treatments consisted of combinations of five varieties of wheat, namely NIAW 34 (Pedigree: CIANO-79/(SIB) Parula; year of released: 1997), NIAW 301(Pedigree: Seri-82/Hork; year of released: 2002), HD-2189 (Pedigree: HD 1963/ HD 1931; year of released: 1980), LOK-1(Pedigree: S-308 X S-331 (Sonalika x Chhoti larma); year of released: 1979), PBW 55O (Pedigree: WH 594/RAJ 3858//W 485; year of released: 2007) and two bioregulators viz. thiourea and ortho-silicic acid. Each main plot was sub-divided into six subplots 2m horizontally based on irrigation received from line source sprinkler system. Six levels of irrigation based upon the climatological approach i.e. ratio of cumulative USWB class A open pan evaporation (CPE) and depth of irrigation water (IW). The maximum IW at each irrigation varied between 6.5 to 7.4 cm that was equivalent to CPE (IW:CPE 1.0) and happened to be in the nearest subplot to main sprinkler line. The irrigation quantities were: fully irrigated (I_1:31.8cm); mild (I_2: 28.9cm);
medium (I3: 25.9 cm and I4: 22.7 cm); and severe (I5: 19.9 cm and I6: 17.2 cm) water stress conditions. The crop was planted in early November, with a seed sowing rate of 100 kg ha\(^{-1}\) using seed drill. Mean temperature during grain filling was around 25.5°C in the two years, with averaged maximum temperatures of 29°C. Seasonal precipitations ranged from 8-76 mm. Plots were fertilized with 120 kg N, 60 Kg P\(_2\)O\(_5\) and 40 Kg K\(_2\)O ha\(^{-1}\). Half of N was applied as basal dose while rest was applied in two equal splits i.e. at CRI and tillering stage. Different concentrations viz., 32 ppm stabilized silicic acid (Brand: silixol; Make: Privi) and thiourea 10 mM were applied as foliar sprays at crown root initiation at 15 days after sowing (DAS), flag leaf, flowering and maturation stage and where water was sprayed in control plots. Protection measurements were taken while application of foliar sprays.

Figure1: Experimental design. Irrigation water applied (cm) as a function of distance from line source sprinkler. The symmetrical water distribution pattern was monitored by using a series of PVC catch cans placed perpendicular to the line source system at 2 m spacing. The decrease in water distribution was observed with increase in distance from main line of LSS. The maximum water delivered was 19 mm h\(^{-1}\) near the main line and the lowest was 0.4-0.7 mm at a radius of 12.2 m. The experiments were conducted in split plot design with four replications. Fifteen main plot treatments consisted of combinations of five varieties of wheat with TU, OSA and water as control (c) from 1 to 15 combinations are given below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>HD 2189 +TU</th>
<th></th>
<th>HD 2189 +OSA</th>
<th></th>
<th>HD 2189 +C</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>LOK 1 +TU</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>LOK 1 +OSA</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>LOK 1 +C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>NIAW 301 +TU</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>NIAW 301 +OSA</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>NIAW 301 +C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>NIAW 34 +TU</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>NIAW 34 +OSA</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>NIAW 34 +C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>PBW +TU</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>PBW +OSA</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>PBW +C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>PBW +TU</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>PBW +OSA</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>PBW +C</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
2.2 Growth, Yield and Agro-Physiological parameters Monitoring

The plants were harvested after physiological maturity, and separated into leaf and tillers fractions. Plant height, peduncle length and spike length of each plant were measured in each subplot of water treatments with bioregulators and control (water sprayed) plots. Dry weights of plant parts and seeds were measured after drying at 80°C in a hot air oven for 48 to 72 hours. The surrogate traits such SPAD, total soluble carbohydrates were also assessed to understand the carbon fixation to water deficit.

Canopy temperature was recorded by thermal imager (Vario Cam®hr inspect 575, Jenoptic, Germany) that operates in the wavebands 8-14 µm with a thermal resolution of 0.01°C. Thermal images with spatial resolution of 768 x 576 pixels were captured seven times covering crop growth stages just before and after anthesis. All thermal images were taken with the thermal imager on a tripod perpendicular to the area being imaged. Dry and wet references were used to mimic leaves with fully closed and fully open stomata, respectively (Jones et al., 2002) and to avoid extreme conditions while capturing images. Emissivity for all the measurements were set
at 0.96 (Jones, 2004). IRBIS® software (Jenoptic, Germany) was used to analyse images. A total of six areas of interest in each image for analysis in the imager's software were outlined manually, by comparing thermal and normal digital images to exclude noise from ground area. Minimum canopy temperature in each of the sections in each image was considered to get a value that represent cooling capacity of cultivar.

Relative water content (RWC) was measured as reported in Kumar & Elston, (1992). Known amount (w) of fresh leaf tissue collected from five plants of each treatment was incubated for four hours in distilled water. After 4 hours the samples are taken out of water and are well dried of any surface moisture quickly and lightly with filter paper and immediately weighed to obtain fully turgid weight (TW). Samples are then oven dried at 80°C for 24h and weighed (after being cooled down in a desiccator) to determine dry weight (DW). All weighing were done to the nearest mg. RWC was calculated as: RWC (%) = [(W-DW) / (TW-DW)] x 100. Here, W – sample fresh weight; TW – sample turgid weight; DW – sample dry weight. Chlorophyll content using SPAD chlorophyll meter (SPAD-502Plus, Konica Minolta make) in flag leaf of each cultivar from each treatment.

Total soluble saccharides were measured at grain filling stage from randomly collected leaf tissue. Fresh leaf samples were collected from field conditions at 4°C chill boxes. 100 mg of leaf tissue was extracted in 80% ethanol; soluble saccharides were measured using anthron reagent (DuBois et al., 1956) using UV- Vis spectrophotometer. Soil moisture was measured weekly interval at two depths at 15 and 30 cm by gravimetric method. Soil samples were oven dried at 105 °C and moisture content (%) was determined.

2.3 Statistical analysis

The results analysed using GENSTAT program version 10 (Genstat, Release 10.1). The general analysis of variance were performed to assess the effects of water treatment (T) and cultivar-by-water treatment (G * T) interaction plant bioregulators and cultivar-by-plant bioregulators treatment (G * PBR) interaction, interaction within season (G * T * PBR), for the different traits measured. The significance of genetic variability across treatments was also assessed. The purpose of these different analyses was to assess different possibilities of either interactions between cultivars, treatments and the season. Graphs were plotted using line and scatter plot of linear regression, Sigma Plot 10.

3. RESULTS

3.1 Interactive effect of PBRs and water treatments on yield
In both season experiments, the three way ANOVA showed highly significant genotypic variations on the total biomass, relative water content, SPAD chlorophyll readings, total sugars, canopy temperature and seed yield (Table 1), and also significant cultivar-by-water treatment-by-PBR treatment (G x Water Trt x PBR Trt) interaction for these traits. However, the magnitude of the genotypic variations was higher than the G x Water Trt x PBR Trt interactions in the haulm yield and haulm N. There was highly significant genotypic effect in all these traits but not in the G x Water Trt x PBR Trt interaction (Table 1.) The grain yield was drastically decreased with increased intensity of water stress in both seasons. The window of time period from flowering to maturity was also varied among the cultivars and with water levels applied. The variety NIAW 301 seeds matured in shorter window, though it was 10 days delayed flowered as compared with other varieties. The total grain yield of cultivars was varied among the varieties by the applications of TU and OSA under various water regimes. The average grain yields were increased with TU from 9 to 19 % OSA from 6 to 18 %. Under irrigated conditions TU and OSA enhanced 9, 6 %; under medium stress conditions 19, 18 %; and under sever water stress conditions 17, 12% with respective their control. These results indicate that the cultivars responded better with TU and OSA under moderate and severe stress than fully irrigated conditions. The maximum grain yield was found in NIAW 301 with TU under all irrigation conditions and the percent increase was higher among the varieties. The maximum percent of grain yield increased with application of TU and OSA in cultivars NIAW 301 followed by PBW 550, NIAW 34, HD 2189 And LOK 1 under various amount water applied (Figure 2). However, the yield response varied among the cultivars was observed under various water stress conditions, after dividing them in to three windows based on the amount of water applied, i. e. full irrigation applied (26 to 32 cm), medium water stress (22 to 26 cm), and severe water stress (17 to 22 cm) conditions. The foliar application of OSA has enhanced the grain yield in cultivars LOK 1, PBW 550 under maximum water applied, HD 2189, NIAW 301 under medium water stress, while the cultivar NIAW 301, NIAW 34 under severe water stress conditions. Whereas with the application of TU the cultivar NIAW 301, NIAW 34, PBW 550 showed maximum grain yield under maximum water applied, NIAW 301, NIAW 34, HD2189 under medium stress, NIAW 301, LOK 1, HD2189 and NIAW 34 under severe stress conditions as compared with their respective controls.
Supplement table 1. Three-way ANOVA on total biomass, RWC, SPAD, total sugars, canopy temperature and seed yield parameters of wheat varieties, water treatments and bioregulators and their interactions.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Total biomass</th>
<th>RWC</th>
<th>SPAD</th>
<th>Total sugars</th>
<th>Canopy temperature</th>
<th>Seed Yield</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Varieties (G)</strong></td>
<td>Sum of square</td>
<td>351.325</td>
<td>655.223</td>
<td>1005.648</td>
<td>829.215</td>
<td>142.823</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mean sum of square</td>
<td>70.265</td>
<td>131.045</td>
<td>201.130</td>
<td>165.843</td>
<td>28.565</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>F. Prob.</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.144</td>
<td>0.222</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>LSD</td>
<td>0.356</td>
<td>1.905</td>
<td>2.577</td>
<td>1.064</td>
<td>0.374</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Water treatments (Water trt)</strong></td>
<td>Sum of square</td>
<td>669.642</td>
<td>2340.833</td>
<td>974.008</td>
<td>281.228</td>
<td>472.472</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mean sum of square</td>
<td>111.607</td>
<td>390.139</td>
<td>162.335</td>
<td>46.871</td>
<td>78.745</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Variance (F Value)</td>
<td>41.945</td>
<td>5.120</td>
<td>1.164</td>
<td>1.970</td>
<td>26.781</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>F. Prob.</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.338</td>
<td>0.084</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>LSD</td>
<td>0.384</td>
<td>2.057</td>
<td>2.783</td>
<td>1.150</td>
<td>0.404</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Bioregulators (PBR)</strong></td>
<td>Sum of square</td>
<td>38.730</td>
<td>127.233</td>
<td>429.249</td>
<td>271.022</td>
<td>135.511</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mean sum of square</td>
<td>16.365</td>
<td>63.616</td>
<td>214.624</td>
<td>135.511</td>
<td>12.636</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Variance (F Value)</td>
<td>7.278</td>
<td>0.835</td>
<td>1.539</td>
<td>5.695</td>
<td>4.297</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>F. Prob.</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.439</td>
<td>0.223</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>0.018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>LSD</td>
<td>0.252</td>
<td>1.347</td>
<td>1.822</td>
<td>0.753</td>
<td>0.265</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>G x Water trt</strong></td>
<td>Sum of square</td>
<td>6868365</td>
<td>934.22</td>
<td>1007.98</td>
<td>546591</td>
<td>1612814</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mean sum of square</td>
<td>490598</td>
<td>66.73</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>39042</td>
<td>115201</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Variance (F Value)</td>
<td>5.57</td>
<td>3.34</td>
<td>5.36</td>
<td>3.42</td>
<td>5.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>F. Prob.</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>LSD</td>
<td>293</td>
<td>4.412</td>
<td>3.619</td>
<td>105.46</td>
<td>144.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>G x PBR</strong></td>
<td>Sum of square</td>
<td>4402842</td>
<td>818.18</td>
<td>585.84</td>
<td>546591</td>
<td>937448</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mean sum of square</td>
<td>314489</td>
<td>58.44</td>
<td>41.85</td>
<td>39042</td>
<td>66958</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Variance (F Value)</td>
<td>3.57</td>
<td>2.92</td>
<td>3.11</td>
<td>3.42</td>
<td>3.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>F. Prob.</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>LSD</td>
<td>293</td>
<td>4.412</td>
<td>3.619</td>
<td>105.46</td>
<td>144.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>G x PBR x Water trt</strong></td>
<td>Sum of square</td>
<td>2863099</td>
<td>488.48</td>
<td>758.59</td>
<td>314860</td>
<td>1213714</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mean sum of square</td>
<td>204507</td>
<td>34.89</td>
<td>54.19</td>
<td>22490</td>
<td>86694</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Variance (F Value)</td>
<td>2.32</td>
<td>1.75</td>
<td>4.03</td>
<td>1.97</td>
<td>4.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>F. Prob.</td>
<td>0.006</td>
<td>0.051</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.023</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>LSD</td>
<td>414.4</td>
<td>6.24</td>
<td>5.118</td>
<td>149.14</td>
<td>204.73</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 2: Yield (t ha\(^{-1}\)) responses of wheat varieties (HD 2189, NIAW 34, PBW550, LOK 1 and NIAW 34) to TU (thick continuous line), OSA (thick dotted line) and water (small dotted line) foliar application under various water stress conditions where total water applied (cm) from 17 to 32cm using line source sprinkler system. Graphs were plotted using line and scatter plot of linear regression, Sigma Plot 10. Data presented was the pooled seed yield data of two years.

3.2 Water productivity as a function of water quantity

Irrigation in both seasons increased water productivity, the relationship between water productivity and quantity water applied in cm was increased with TU and OSA application showed as compared with control (Figure 4). Wheat varieties were responded differently with TU and OSA application across deficit irrigation applied whereas increased in water productivity 0.12- 0.10 Mg h\(^{-1}\) with TU, 0.13-0.09 Mg h\(^{-1}\) with OSA at fully irrigated and medium water stress while 0.11-0.03 Mg h\(^{-1}\) with TU, 0.12-0.03 Mg h\(^{-1}\) with OSA at severe stress conditions.
The productivity of total applied water (PAW) is defined as crop yield per unit volume of water supply to the crop. The PAW was recorded in varieties PBW 550 and NAIW 34 (0.13 Mg h\(^{-1}\) with OSA; 0.12 Mg h\(^{-1}\) with TU) at irrigated conditions; in NIAW 301 and PBW 550 (0.13 Mg h\(^{-1}\) with OSA; 0.12 Mg h\(^{-1}\) with TU) at medium; and in NIAW 34, PBW 550 and NIAW 34 (0.12 Mg h\(^{-1}\) with OSA; 0.11 Mg h\(^{-1}\) with TU) compare to other two varieties. The average percent of water productivity increased among the cultivars from 15 to 32 % with TU and 16 to 35% with OSA compared with control. Maximum percent increase in PAW found in NIAW 301 with OSA and LOK1 with TU and minimum in NIAW 34 with TU and LOK1 with OSA; and moderate enhanced in HD2189 and PBW 550 respectively.

![Graph showing the relationship between applied water and water productivity for HD2189 with OSA, TU, and control conditions.](image)

The equations for the relationships are as follows:

- **OSA**: 
  \[ y = -0.0004x^2 + 0.0253x - 0.0723 \] \( R^2 = 0.7307 \)

- **TU**: 
  \[ y = -0.0001x^2 + 0.0105x - 0.0723 \] \( R^2 = 0.7442 \)

- **control**: 
  \[ y = -0.0003x^2 + 0.0162x - 0.1574 \] \( R^2 = 0.8536 \)
y = -0.0006x^2 + 0.0336x - 0.3531
R² = 0.808

y = -0.0002x^2 + 0.0156x - 0.1601
R² = 0.9331

y = -0.0007x^2 + 0.0403x - 0.4226
R² = 0.9188

LOK1

y = -0.0006x^2 + 0.0347x - 0.3397
R² = 0.9335

y = -0.0006x^2 + 0.0321x - 0.3479
R² = 0.9801

y = -0.0005x^2 + 0.0263x - 0.2857
R² = 0.9464

NIAW 301

Water Productivity (Mg ha⁻¹)

Applied water (cm)
Figure 4: Water productivity (Mg ha⁻¹) of wheat varieties in response to foliar application of TU (straight line), OSA (broad dotted line) and water as control (dotted line) under various amount of irrigated water applied (cm). Trend of differential response of each variety expressed to TU and OSA in comparison with water expressed using simple polynomial curve. Data presented was mean data of six samples with four replications.

\[
y = -0.0007x^2 + 0.0362x - 0.3504 \\
R^2 = 0.85
\]

\[
y = -0.0007x^2 + 0.0388x - 0.3867 \\
R^2 = 0.7961
\]

\[
y = -0.0007x^2 + 0.037x - 0.3855 \\
R^2 = 0.9065
\]

\[
y = -0.0004x^2 + 0.0228x - 0.209 \\
R^2 = 0.6295
\]

\[
y = -0.0006x^2 + 0.0328x - 0.3363 \\
R^2 = 0.8423
\]

\[
y = -0.0007x^2 + 0.0388x - 0.389 \\
R^2 = 0.8379
\]

\[
y = -0.0004x^2 + 0.0228x - 0.209 \\
R^2 = 0.6295
\]

\[
y = -0.0006x^2 + 0.0328x - 0.3363 \\
R^2 = 0.8423
\]
3.3 Relationship between canopy temperatures and yield

The canopy temperatures at seed filling stage were decreased with increased amount of water applied through irrigation and the relationship between canopy temperatures and yield was negative in all genotypes with foliar application of TU and OSA (Figure 3). The minimum canopy temperatures were found in NIAW 301 (22 to 26 °C) with TU and OSA than control. The yield was decreased with increased canopy temperatures with water stress intense conditions in all cultivars. The average higher canopy temperatures were at severe water stress conditions and minimum were at well irrigated conditions. The minimum temperatures did not vary much among the cultivars. The foliar application of TU and OSA increased canopy temperatures in varieties HD 2189, LOK 1, NIAW 34, PBW 550, but not much in NIAW 301. These results indicate that due to application of TU and OSA under deficit irrigated conditions in helped in stomatal closure to save water loss in all varieties except NIAW 301 which decreased the canopy temperatures than that of control enhances the water use that corresponding to grain filling by cooling canopy temperatures. The differential response of varieties in water saving and efficient water use enhanced grain yield under water stress and irrigated conditions. Variety NIAW 301 has cooler canopy and efficient use of water for its seed filling.
Figure 3: The relationship between yield (t ha\(^{-1}\)) and canopy temperature (\(^{\circ}\)C) in wheat varieties with foliar application of TU, OSA and water as control under different water regimes. Each point expresses the yield and canopy temperature of each water applied (cm) with line source sprinkler system. Data presented was the pooled seed yield data of two years.
The photosynthates accumulated in the form of total saccharides that were estimated in flag leaf at seed filling stage were considered as a major source at the time of grain filling. The total soluble sugars varied significantly among the cultivars, under water treatments, with the exogenous application of TU and OSA and their interaction (Table -1). The varieties differed in the accumulation of total soluble sugars along under water treatments. With the foliar application of TU the maximum total soluble sugars were found in LOK1 followed by NIAW 301, HD 2189, PBW 550 and NIAW 34 (Figure 5). Similar trend followed by cultivars found with application of OSA, but the amount of total sugars (mg g\(^{-1}\) fresh weight) was less compared to TU and more than the control. However, the sink in terms of grain yield was maximum in NIAW 301, followed by NIAW 34, PBW 550 and LOK1 (Figure 1) with application of TU. The OSA had enhanced the grain yield in LOK1 and PBW 550 under medium stress. Under normal conditions LOK1 has more source of accumulation and less grain yield potential, but it got enhanced sink with application of OSA and TU. These results indicate that each cultivar performed differentially in source accumulation and sink preservation with application of TU and OSA under various water regimes.
Figure 5: Differential responses wheat varieties for total soluble sugars (TSS) (mg g\textsuperscript{-1} fresh wt.) with foliar application of TU, OSA and water as control under various water regimes. All cultivars showed same trend of decreased in TSS with reduced water applied (cm); TU and OSA enhanced the accumulation of TSS under water stress conditions as compared with its respective control. Data presented was mean data of six samples with four replications.
3.5 Traits associated in alleviation of water stress

In many studies SPAD chlorophyll and RWC were considered as surrogate traits for water stress tolerance. In present study focused on SPAD chlorophyll and RWC estimation to identify the possible surrogate traits that were involved in alleviation of water stress with the exogenous application of TU and OSA. The traits RWC, SPAD chlorophyll meter readings measured in flag leaf after application of TU and OSA under different water regimes were significantly varied among cultivars, with TU and OSA application and across all water regimes and their interactions (Table 1). RWC was higher under medium and severe stress conditions compare to control and it got enhanced with application of TU and OSA. SPAD chlorophyll readings were enhanced with application of TU and OSA under mild and severe water stress conditions and these results were not much significant among cultivars due to the cultivars selected for this study were differ in their leaf size and thickness.

4. DISCUSSION

4.1 Interactive effect of PBRs and water treatments on yield

The variation among the cultivars for grain yield enhanced with exogenous application of TU and OSA under all irrigated conditions, and especially under moderate and severe water stress conditions. This indicates that TU and OSA have differential role in alleviating water stress that was imposed using line source sprinkler system. These results agree with previous findings where TU was used to alleviate the abiotic stress especially for drought in maize as foliar application (Amin et al., 2013), in wheat as soil application (Sahu et al., 1995), in green gram under arid regions (Mathur et al., 2006) and for salinity in brassica (Srivastava et al., 2009) and in potato under normal condition (Mani et al., 2013). Silicon in various forms through soil application to alleviate salt stress in sorghum (Yin et al., 2013), in rice (Gurmini et al., 2013; Farroq et al 2015), cadmium stress alleviation in durum wheat (Rizwan et al., 2012), potassium deficiency in soybean (Miao et al., 2010). The intensity of stress affected the reproductive process as it showed drop in grain yield under moderate and severe water stress conditions in all cultivars under control (figure 2) and with application of TU and OSA got enhanced up to 18 % under severe water stress conditions. The cultivars NIAW 301, NIAW 34, PBW 550 and HD2189 that performed better with TU and LOK1, PBW 550 and HD2189 performed better with OSA application under severe stress conditions those that have success in their reproductive process.

4.2 Water productivity as a function of water quantity
The results of measured water productivity indicate that application of TU sulfhydryl compound and OSA beneficial element not only increased the grain yield but also increased the water productivity in study both years. Rahman et al. (1999) also reported a linear relationship between water use, yield, and yield components of wheat under various irrigation and nitrogen application treatments. With crop–water production functions and knowledge of the stages of the crop that are sensitive to water stress, optimal deficit irrigation and application of PBRs can be scheduled with a minimum yield reduction compared with full irrigation and, therefore, limited water resources can be utilized more efficiently. In addition, when the crop production functions are known, it is possible to appropriately allocate limited water resources between crops where crops compete for scarce water in dry areas.

4.3 Relationship between canopy temperatures and yield

The minimum temperatures were found in all cultivars under irrigated conditions than water stress conditions and these were reduced with application of TU and OSA (Figure 3). The negative relationship between canopy temperatures and yield shows that increase in canopy temperatures due to stomatal closure for water saving was reduced grain yields under water stress conditions. Under severe stress conditions with application of TU and OSA canopy temperatures got reduced compare to its control, and that ultimately enhanced grain yield was observed. Capacities of cooler canopy have been often associated with the water corresponded to grain yield in wheat (Mason and Singh, 2014) similar results were observed in NAIW 301 under all irrigated conditions with TU and OSA that enhanced water use and yield. Looking at the structure of thiourea, both ‘imino’ and ‘thiol’ functional groups has great implications in water stress tolerance. With foliar spray imino group provides a ready source of nitrogen and thiol has a great role in alleviating oxidative stress damage on the physiologically more important mesophyll tissue (Wahid et al., 2007). Under water stress conditions exogenous application of Si reduces water loss, while an epidermal cell wall with less silica will allow water to escape at an accelerated rate (Meena et al., 2013). These results clearly show that TU and OSA have much influence on epidermal and mesophyll cells to modulate the stomatal movement under stress conditions by reducing water loss in all varieties except NAIW 301.

4.4 Source and sink relation among varieties

The cultivars NIAW 301,PBW 550 and HD2189 exhibited enhanced grain yield with TU and OSA (Figure 1) and with enhanced total soluble sugars (Figure 5) under medium and severe stress conditions, probably these cultivars utilized carbohydrates (reserve) for grain development and source may not be the limiting factor. These results were also strengthened by previous finding that TU involved in the phloem transport of sucrose and in the substrate binding site of
the amino acid carrier (McCormick and Johnstone, 1990) and TU enhances the formation of the ternary complex, sucrose H+ carrier, thus improving translocation of photosynthates during grain filling in cereals (Hernández et al., 1983). Metabolic transport of sucrose to grains via effects on phloem loading enhanced with application of TU. Mobilization of dry matter (reserves) from leaves to grains increased in wheat with TU spray at tiller stage. Improvement in harvest index under TU treatments lends further credence to the role of TU in improving dry matter partitioning to grains (Sahu and Solanki, 1991). Likewise under water stress, Kaya et al. (2006) reported that Si significantly increased the dry weight of shoots and total biomass of maize.

4.5 Traits associated in alleviation of water stress

Increased relative water content, indicating retention of water in cells that leads to enhanced water stress tolerance and reduced proline level was observed when Si was applied to wheat grown under salinity (Tuna et al., 2008). In present study, OSA increased resistance of plant to water stress and improved water status. Similar studies were reported in sorghum (Hattori et al., 2005), maize (Kaya et al., 2006) and wheat with soil silica application (Pei et al., 2010). The foliar application of 32 ppm of OSA under water stress was highly subjective in holding maximum relative water content (RWC), maintenance of cooler canopy and increased chlorophyll content along with root profile in wheat crop to sustain under water stress conditions (Ratnakumar et al., 2015). A recent study also showed that silicon induced alleviation was independent of leaf water status (Hattori et al., 2008). TU and OSA significantly increased SPAD chlorophyll in all cultivars that were tested, similarly in maize, foliar spray of TU increased both canopy photosynthesis and photosynthetically active leaf surface during grain filling (Sahu et al., 1993) and OSA largely improved the total DM, chlorophyll content, RWC and proline accumulation (Meena et al., 2013). These results suggested that the surrogate traits RWC and SPAD chlorophyll content have contribution in grain yield under water stress which was greatly enhanced by TU and OSA.

5. CONCLUSION

In conclusion, variations among the wheat cultivars were confirmed in response to TU, OSA across quantity water applied as irrigation was tested. Our interpretation is that TU and OSA have potential efficacy in alleviation of water stress by efficient use of water through relative water content, modulating canopy temperatures and enhanced total soluble sugars and source and sink which were crucial for gaining total biomass and seed yield under water stress conditions. The overall percent yield increment with application of TU and OSA was 9 and 6 % under irrigated condition, 19 and 18% and under moderate stress condition 17 and 12 % under severe stress conditions and water productivity 0.12- 0.10 Mg h⁻¹ with TU, 0.13-0.09 Mg h⁻¹ with OSA.
at fully irrigated and medium stress; 0.11-0.03 Mg h\(^{-1}\) with TU, 0.12-0.03 Mg h\(^{-1}\) with OSA at severe stress conditions. Our major finding was that TU and OSA has various degrees of potential efficacy in alleviation of water stress by efficient use of water through relative water content, modulating canopy temperatures and enhanced total soluble sugars and sink partition are essential for enhanced yield and water productivity under deficit quantity of irrigation applied. Our interpretation that TU and OSA exogenous foliar application enhanced grain yield in NAIW 301, NAIW 34 with TU and LOK1, PBW 550, HD2189 with OSA with and water productivity in all wheat cultivars tested and particularly with TU in LOK1, PBW 550 and HD2189; with OSA in NIAW 301, NIAW 34 at medium and severe water stress intensities.
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